arXiv:1412.4242v1 [math.MG] 13 Dec 2014

A GENERALIZATION OF MARSTRAND'S THEOREM

JORGE ERICK LÓPEZ, CARLOS GUSTAVO MOREIRA, WALISTON LUIZ SILVA

Abstract. In this paper we prove two general results related to Marstrand's projection theorem in a quite general formulation over separable metric spaces under a suitable transversality hypothesis (the "projections" are in principle only measurable) - the result is flexible enough to, in particular, recover most of the classical Marstrand-like theorems. Our proofs use simpler tools than many classical works in the subject, where some techniques from harmonic analysis or special geometrical structures on the spaces are used.

1. Introduction

Let X, Y be separable metric spaces, $(\Lambda, \mathcal{P})$ a probability space and $\pi : \Lambda \times X \to Y$ a measurable function. Informally, one can think of $\pi_\lambda(\cdot) = \pi(\lambda, \cdot)$ as a family of projections parameterized by λ. We assume that for positives α, κ there exist a positive constant C such that the following transversality property is satisfies:

$$\mathcal{P}[\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\pi_\lambda(x_1), \pi_\lambda(x_2)) \leq \delta d(x_1, x_2)^\alpha] \leq C\delta^\kappa \tag{1.1}$$

for all $\delta > 0$ and all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. In most examples $\kappa = \dim Y$.

We called the measure ν on Y *κ-regular* if it is upper regular by open sets and

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \nu(B(y, r))/r^\kappa > 0$$

for all $y \in Y$. Lebesgue measure in Euclidean spaces are regular strongly, in the sense that the limit is always bigger than a positive constant. In those cases we say that ν is *strongly κ-regular*.

We are able to announce general versions of Marstrand theorem in this context.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be an analytic subset of a complete separable metric space, then $\dim \pi_\lambda(X) \geq \min(\kappa, \dim X/\alpha)$ for a.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In particular, if $\kappa = \dim Y$ and π_λ are α-Hölder when $\dim X < \alpha\kappa$, then $\dim \pi_\lambda(X) = \min(\kappa, \dim X/\alpha)$ for a.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Theorem 1.2. *If $\dim X > \alpha\kappa$ and ν is a κ-regular measure on Y, then $\nu(\pi_\lambda(X)) > 0$ for a.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

A stronger version of the Theorem 1.2 for *strongly κ-regular* measures is study in section 3, see Corollary 3.3.

The first author was supported by the Balzan Research Project of J.Palis and by INCTMat and PCI projects. The second author was supported by the Balzan Research Project of J.Palis and by CNPq.

2. Preliminaries

For X separable metric space, let

$$\mathcal{M}^s(X) = \{\mu : \mu \text{ Borel measure on } X \text{ with } 0 < \mu(X) < \infty \text{ such that there is } c > 0 \text{ such that } \mu(B(x,r)) \le cr^s \text{ for all } x \in X, r > 0\}.$$

$\mathcal{M}^s(X) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^s(X) > 0$, indeed, if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^s(X)$ and $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ is any covering of X, picking $x_j \in E_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots$ we have $B(x_j, d(E_j)) \supset E_j$ and therefore

$$\sum_j d(E_j)^s \ge \sum_j \frac{1}{c}\mu(B(x_j, d(E_j))) \ge \sum_j \frac{1}{c}\mu(E_j),$$

hence $\mathcal{H}^s(X) \ge \mu(X)/c > 0$. The converse is also true for analytic sets in complete separable metric space, see for instance Theorem 8.17 in [2]. We give a elementary proof for the same result.

Lemma 2.1. *If X is an analytic subset of a complete separable metric space, then $\mathcal{H}^s(X) > 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}^s(X) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. By Corollary 7 in [1], we may assume X compact with $0 < \mathcal{H}^s(X) < \infty$. Let $M > 10^s$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{H}^s_\delta(X) > 10^s\mathcal{H}^s(X)/M$ and consider the family

$$\mathcal{B} := \{B(x,r) : 10r \le \delta, \mathcal{H}^s(B(x,r)) \ge Mr^s\}.$$

By the Vitaly covering theorem we get disjoint balls $B(x_j, r_j) \in \mathcal{B}, j = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that $\cup_{B\in\mathcal{B}} B \subset \cup_j B(x_j, 5r_j) =: A$, hence

$$\mathcal{H}^s_\delta(A) \le 10^s \sum_j r_j^s \le \frac{10^s}{M}\sum_j \mathcal{H}^s(B(x_j, r_j)) \le 10^s\mathcal{H}^s(X)/M$$

and therefore $\mathcal{H}^s(X\backslash A) \ge \mathcal{H}^s_\delta(X\backslash A) \ge \mathcal{H}^s_\delta(X) - \mathcal{H}^s_\delta(A) > 0$. Obviously, $\mathcal{H}^s$ restricted to $X\backslash A$ is in $\mathcal{M}^s(X)$ for $c = \max(M, 10^s\mathcal{H}^s(X)/\delta^s)$. □

Let μ be a finite Borel measure on X. The *s-energy* of μ is

$$I_s(\mu) = \int\int \frac{d\mu(x_1)d\mu(x_2)}{d(x_1,x_2)^s}.$$

Finiteness of energy is closely relate with measure in $\mathcal{M}^s(X)$, for instance, if $I_s(\mu) < \infty$, then $\sup_{r>0} |\mu(B(x,r))| / r^s \le \int d(x,x_2)^{-s}d\mu(x_2) < \infty$ for μ-a.e. $x \in X$. If M large enough such that the Borel set $B = \{x : \sup_{r>0} |\mu(B(x,r))| / r^s \le M\}$ has positive μ-measure, then $\nu = \mu|B$ is in $\mathcal{M}^s(X)$, in fact if $B(x,r) \cap B = \emptyset$ then $\nu(B(x,r)) = 0$, otherwise, if $z \in B(x,r) \cap B$, then $B(x,r) \subset B(z,2r)$ and therefore

$$\nu(B(x,r)) \le \nu(B(z,2r)) \le 2^s Mr^s.$$

On the other hand if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^s(X)$, then $I_t(\mu) < \infty$ for all $0 \le t < s$, in fact

$$\int d(x_1,x_2)^{-t}d\mu(x_2) = \int_0^\infty \mu[x_2 : d(x_1,x_2)^{-t} \ge u]du$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \mu(B(x_1, u^{-\frac{1}{t}}))du \le \mu(X) + c\int_1^\infty u^{-\frac{s}{t}}du < \infty.$$

Then, if X is an analytic subset of a complete separable metric space, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim X &:= \sup\{s : \mathcal{H}^s(X) > 0\} \\ &= \sup\{s : \exists \mu \text{ Borel measure with } 0 < \mu(X) < \infty \text{ and } I_s(\mu) < \infty\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

3. Main results

Let X, Y be separable metric spaces, $(\Lambda, \mathcal{P})$ a probability space and $\pi : \Lambda \times X \to Y$ a measurable function satisfying the transversality property in 1.1. Given any finite Borel measure μ on X let $\nu_\lambda = (\pi_\lambda)_*\mu$.

Theorem 3.1.

$$\int_\Lambda I_t(\nu_\lambda) d\mathcal{P} \leq C_{t,\kappa} I_{\alpha t}(\mu) \text{ for all } 0 \leq t < \kappa.$$

Proof. Notice that $I_t(\nu_\lambda) = \int\int \frac{d\mu(x_1)d\mu(x_2)}{d(\pi_\lambda(x_1),\pi_\lambda(x_2))^t}$ then, by Fubuni's theorem we have

$$\int_\Lambda I_t(\nu_\lambda) d\mathcal{P} = \int\int \Big[\int_\Lambda \left(\frac{d(\pi_\lambda(x_1),\pi_\lambda(x_2))}{d(x_1,x_2)^\alpha}\right)^{-t} d\mathcal{P}\Big] \frac{d\mu(x_1)d\mu(x_2)}{d(x_1,x_2)^{\alpha t}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Lambda \left(\frac{d(\pi_\lambda(x_1),\pi_\lambda(x_2))}{d(x_1,x_2)^\alpha}\right)^{-t} d\mathcal{P} &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{P}\Big[\lambda \in \Lambda : \left(\frac{d(\pi_\lambda(x_1),\pi_\lambda(x_2))}{d(x_1,x_2)^\alpha}\right)^{-t} \geq u\Big] du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{P}\Big[\lambda \in \Lambda : \frac{d(\pi_\lambda(x_1),\pi_\lambda(x_2))}{d(x_1,x_2)^\alpha} \leq u^{-\frac{1}{t}}\Big] du \\ &\leq 1 + C\int_1^\infty u^{-\frac{\kappa}{t}} du < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from equation (2.1) and Theorem 3.1. Note that ν_λ are also Borel measures with $\nu_\lambda(\pi_\lambda(X)) = \mu(X)$. □

Theorem 3.2.

$$\int_\Lambda \int \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\nu_\lambda(B(y,r))}{r^\kappa} d\nu_\lambda(y) d\mathcal{P} \leq C I_{\alpha\kappa}(\mu).$$

Proof. Using Fatou's lemma

$$\int \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\nu_\lambda(B(y,r))}{r^\kappa} d\nu_\lambda(y) \leq \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{r^\kappa} \int \nu_\lambda(B(y,r)) d\nu_\lambda(y), \text{ and}$$

$$\int \nu_\lambda(B(y,r)) d\nu_\lambda(y) = \nu_\lambda \times \nu_\lambda[(y_1,y_2) : d(y_1,y_2) \leq r] = \mu\times\mu[(x_1,x_2) : d(\pi_\lambda(x_1),\pi_\lambda(x_2)) \leq r],$$

then, by Fubini's theorem and (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Lambda \int \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\nu_\lambda(B(y,r))}{r^\kappa} d\nu_\lambda(y) d\mathcal{P} \\ &\leq \liminf_{r\to 0} \iint \frac{1}{r^\kappa} \mathcal{P}[\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\pi_\lambda(x_1),\pi_\lambda(x_2)) \leq r] d\mu(x_1) d\mu(x_2) \\ &\leq C I_{\alpha\kappa}(\mu).\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *If $I_{\alpha\kappa}(\mu) < \infty$ and ν is a κ-regular measure on Y, then ν_λ is absolutely continuous with respect to ν for a.e $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Moreover, if ν is strongly κ-regular in the sense that $\liminf_{r\to 0} \nu(B(y,r))/r^\kappa \geq c$ for all $y \in Y$ and some positive constant c, then $\chi_\lambda := \frac{d\nu_\lambda}{d\nu} \in L^2(\nu)$ for a.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with*

$$\int_\Lambda \int \chi_\lambda^2 d\nu d\mathcal{P} \leq 5^\kappa c^{-1} C I_{\alpha\kappa}(\mu).$$

Proof. By the Theorem 3.2 $\nu_\lambda[y : \liminf_{r\to 0} \nu_\lambda(B(y,r))/r^\kappa = \infty] = 0$ for a.e $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Fixed a such λ. For any $A \subset Y$ let M be large enough such that for

$$P_M = \Big\{ y : \liminf_{r\to 0} \nu_\lambda(B(y,r))/r^\kappa < M, \liminf_{r\to 0} \nu(B(y,r))/r^\kappa > M^{-1} \Big\}$$

we have $\nu_\lambda(A) \le 2\nu_\lambda(A \cap P_M)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $U \supset A$ a open set such that $\nu(U) \le \nu(A) + \varepsilon$. Using the Vitaly covering theorem we get disjoint balls $B(x_j, r_j) \subset U$ with $\nu_\lambda(B(x_j, 5r_j)) \le M(5r_j)^\kappa$ and $\nu(B(x_j, r_j)) \ge M^{-1} r_j^\kappa$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that $A \cap P_M \subset \cup_j B(x_j, 5r_j)$, then

$$\nu_\lambda(A \cap P_M) \le \sum_j \nu_\lambda(B(x_j, 5r_j)) \le 5^\kappa M^2 \nu\big(\bigcup_j B(x_j, r_j)\big) \le 5^\kappa M^2 \nu(U)$$

hence $\nu_\lambda(A) \le 2 \cdot 5^\kappa M^2(\nu(A) + \varepsilon)$. Let $\varepsilon \to 0$, if $\nu(A) = 0$ then $\nu_\lambda(A) = 0$.

Second part follows immediately from the assertion that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\chi_\lambda(y) \le 5^\kappa c^{-1} \liminf_{r\to 0} \nu_\lambda(B(y,r))/r^\kappa$ for ν-almost all $y \in Y$. Let $B \subset Y$ any Borel set. For $1 < t < \infty$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $B_p = \big\{ y \in B : t^p \le \liminf_{r\to 0} \nu_\lambda(B(y,r))/r^\kappa < t^{p+1} \big\}$. Using a similar argument as before we get $\nu_\lambda(B_p) \le 5^\kappa t^{p+1} c^{-1} \nu(B_p)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\lambda(B) &= \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} \nu_\lambda(B_p) \le \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} 5^\kappa t^{p+1} c^{-1} \nu(B_p) \\ &\le t 5^\kappa c^{-1} \sum_p \int_{B_p} \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\nu_\lambda(B(y,r))}{r^\kappa} d\nu \le t 5^\kappa c^{-1} \int_B \liminf_{r\to 0} \frac{\nu_\lambda(B(y,r))}{r^\kappa} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \to 1$, we get $\nu_\lambda(B) \le 5^\kappa c^{-1} \int_B \liminf_{r\to 0} \nu_\lambda(B(y,r))/r^\kappa d\nu$ for all Borel set $B \subset Y$, therefore $\chi_\lambda(y) \le 5^\kappa c^{-1} \liminf_{r\to 0} \nu_\lambda(B(y,r))/r^\kappa$ for ν-almost all $y \in Y$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 3.3, since if $\nu_\lambda \ll \nu$ with $\nu_\lambda(\pi_\lambda(X)) = \mu(X) > 0$ then $\nu(\pi_\lambda(X)) > 0$. □

References

1. J.D. Howroyd, *On dimension and on the existence of sets of finite positive Hausdorff measure*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **70** (1995), 581–604.
2. P. Mattila, *Geometry of sets and measures in euclidean spaces : fractals and rectifiability*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

IMPA - Estrada D. Castorina, 110 - 22460-320 - Rio de Janeiro - RJ - Brasil
E-mail address: jorgeerickl@gmail.com; gugu@impa.br; waliston@yahoo.com